

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 OAKLAND DIVISION
11

12 Michael HILL,

13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 Michael MARTEL, Acting Warden of
16 San Quentin State Prison,

17 Respondent.

Case Number 4-94-cv-641-CW

DEATH-PENALTY CASE

SCHEDULING ORDER

18 The parties submitted a Joint Case Management Statement that
19 contains a proposed schedule for the resumption of proceedings in
20 this capital habeas action. (Doc. No. 324.) Good cause
21 appearing therefor, the Court adopts the parties' jointly
22 proposed schedule as follows.

23 (1) Within forty-five days from the entry of the present order,
24 the parties shall complete a review of prosecution files.

25 (2) Within three days following the completion of the review of
26 prosecution files, Petitioner shall file a status report
27 with the Court.

28 (3) Within twenty-one days from the filing of the status report,

Petitioner shall file any discovery motion or related pleading; Respondent shall respond to any such motion within thirty days after the motion is filed; Petitioner shall file any reply within fifteen days after the response is filed.

(4) Within ninety days from either the entry of an order denying discovery or the conclusion of discovery, Petitioner shall file a motion for a stay pending the conclusion of exhaustion proceedings; Respondent shall respond to any such motion within thirty days after the motion is filed; Petitioner shall file any reply within fifteen days after the response is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/24/2012


CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge